

1 Fundamental Concepts

Exercises:

- (1) Trivial.
- (2) (a) Only " \Rightarrow ". (b) Only " \Rightarrow ". (c) True.
(d) Only " \Leftarrow ". (e) True. (f) Only " \leq ".
(g) True. (h) False. (i) True.
(j) True. (k) False. (l) True.
(m) Only " \leq ". (n) True. (o) True. Only " \leq ".
(p) True. (q) True.
- (3) Trivial.
- (4) Trivial.
- (5) Trivial.
- (6) Trivial.
- (7) Trivial.
- (8) Trivial.
- (9) Trivial.
- (10) (a) $\{(x, y) \mid x \text{ is an integer}\} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$
(b) $\{(x, y) \mid 0 < y \leq 1\} = \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1]$
(c) Not a cartesian product.
(d) $\{(x, y) \mid x \text{ is not an integer, } y \text{ is an integer}\} = (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}$
(e) Not a cartesian product.

Functions

Exercises:

- (1) (a) Proof. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function and let $a_0 \in A$ and $b_0 \in B$. Let $x \in A_0$ and set $y = f(x)$. Then $y \in f(A_0)$ and, by definition, $x \in f^{-1}(f(A_0))$. Hence, $A_0 \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A_0))$. Now suppose that f is injective. Let $x \in f^{-1}(f(A_0))$. Then there is a unique $y \in B$ with $f(x) = y$. Thus, $y \in f(A_0)$ and as y is unique for x , $x \in f^{-1}(f(A_0))$. Then there is some $y \in f(A_0)$ with $f(x) = y$. Moreover, there is a $\tilde{x} \in A_0$ such that $f(\tilde{x}) = y$ and as f is injective, $\tilde{x} = x$. \square

- (b) Analogous.

- (2) Easy.
- (3) Trivial.
- (4) Repetitive.
- (5) Repetitive.
- (6) ???

Relations

Definition (Immediate Predecessor/Successor): Let X be a set and let \prec be an order. Then, for $a, b \in X$,

$$(a, b) := \{x \in X \mid a \prec x \prec b\}$$

denotes the an open interval in X . If $(a, b) = \emptyset$, then a is the immediate predecessor of b , and b is the immediate successor of a .

Definition (Order Type): Let A, B be sets with order relations \prec_A, \prec_B , respectively. We say A and B have the same order type if there is a bijection $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$a_1 \prec_A a_2 \Rightarrow f(a_1) \prec_B f(a_2)$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$.

Definition (Least Upper Bound Property): An ordered set X is said to have the least upper bound property if every nonempty subset $A \subseteq X$ that is bounded from above has a least upper bound (supremum).

Exercises:

(1) Proof.

- (i) Reflexivity. Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $x - y^2 = x - y^2$, so $(x, y) \sim (x, y)$.
- (ii) Symmetry. Let $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_1, y_1)$. Then $x_0 - y_0^2 = x_1 - y_1^2$, so clearly $x_1 - y_1^2 = x_0 - y_0^2$, too. Hence, $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_0, y_0)$.
- (iii) Transitivity. Let $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $(x_0, y_0) \sim (x_1, y_1)$ and $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$. Then

$$x_0 - y_0^2 = x_1 - y_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 - y_1^2 = x_2 - y_2^2 \\ \Rightarrow (x_0, y_0) \sim (x_2, y_2), \text{ too.}$$

□

(2) Trivial.

(3) We don't do not have that for any a , there is a b with $a \leq b$. For instance, $\ell = \emptyset$ over \mathbb{R} is symmetric and transitive, but not reflexive.

(4) Trivial.

(5) (a) Proof.

(5) Easy.

(6) Trivial.

(7) Trivial.

(8) Trivial.

(9) Trivial.

(10) Easy.

(71) Proof. Let X be an ordered set and let $a \in X$. Suppose a has two immediate successors $b, b' \in X$. Then $(a, b) = (a, b') = \emptyset$, so neither $a < b'$ nor $b < b'$ nor $a < b$. Thus, $(a, b) = (a, b') = \emptyset$ while $a < b, b'$. Hence, neither $b < b'$ nor $b' < b$ as then $b \in (a, b')$ or $b \in (a, b)$, respectively. Thus, $b = b'$. Analogous for the immediate successor of a . \square

Min./Max. unique is trivial.

(72) Easy.

(73) Easy. Repetitive.

(74) (a) Proof. "If:" Suppose $C=D$. Let $a, b \in A$ such that aDb . That is, $(b, a) \in C$. As $C=D$, also aDb . "Only if:" Suppose $C=D$. Let $(a, b) \in C$. Then also $(b, a) \in C$, so $(a, b) \in D$. But $(a, b) \in D$, then also $(b, a) \in D$, so $(a, b) \in C$.

\square

(b) Trivial.

(c) Or Repetitive.

(75) Easy.

4 The Integers and the Real Numbers

Exercises:

(1) Repetitive.

(2) Repetitive.

(3) (a) Proof. Let M be a collection of inductive sets. Set $D = \bigcap_{A \in M} A$. Clearly $1 \in D$ as $1 \in A$ for all $A \in M$. Let $x \in D$. Then $x \in A$ for all $A \in M$. Thus, $x+1 \in A$ for all $A \in M$ and therefore $x+1 \in D$.

\square

(b) Same as next page

Definition (Inductive Set): A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is inductive if $1 \in A$ and for all $x \in A$, also $x+1 \in A$.

Definition (Integers): Let \mathbb{I} be the collection of all inductive subsets of \mathbb{R} . Then the set \mathbb{Z}_+ of positive integers is

$$\mathbb{Z}_+ = \bigcap_{A \in \mathbb{I}} A.$$

The integers \mathbb{Z} are defined to be $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup (-\mathbb{Z}_+) \cup \{0\}$. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a positive integer, we define S_n to be the section of positive integers less than n , i.e.,

$$S_{n+1} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

(3) (b) (1) Follows readily from part (a).

(2) Proof. Let A be an inductive set of positive integers. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq A$ as $1 \in A$ where \mathbb{I} is the set of collection of all inductive subsets of \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \bigcap_{B \in \mathbb{I}} B$.
~~For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $n \in A$. $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+$ is trivial as A is defined as such.~~

□

(4) (a) Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\{1\}$ has a largest element.
~~i. Suppose that the same property holds for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., that every subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ has a largest element. Consider $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Let $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. If $n+1 \in C$, then $n+1$ is the largest element. Otherwise,~~

$$C \cap \{1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\},$$

~~so~~ ~~Otherwise~~, $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, and C has a largest element by the induction hypothesis.

□

~~(b) Part (a) only covers finite subsets subsets~~

~~(b) Part (a) only covers finite subsets of \mathbb{Z}_+ . but arbitrary subsets may be infinite.~~

(5) (a) Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and consider

$$X = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{ax}{a+x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

Clearly, $1 \in X$ as $a+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ due to the being inductive. Let $x \in X$. Then $\frac{ax}{a+x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and therefore $(a+x) \mid ax$. Hence, $x+1 \in X$, too. Thus, X is inductive and but $b=1$, then starts. Hence, as $\mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq X$, we have $a+b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

□

(b) Follows

(b) Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and consider

$$X = \{x \mid ax \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

Clearly $1 \in X$. Let $b \in X$. Then

$$a(b+1) = \underbrace{ab}_{\in \mathbb{Z}_+} + b \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

by (a).

□

(c) Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and consider

$$X = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, x-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Clearly $1 \in X$ as $1-1=0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Let $a \in X$. Then $(a+1)-1=a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$, so $a \in X$. Hence, X is inductive and $\mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq X$.

□

(d) Repetitive.

(e) Repetitive.

(6) Easy.

(7) Easy.

(8) (a) Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be bounded from above.

(a) Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be bounded from below. Then the set $-A := \{-x \mid x \in A\}$ has is bounded from above and has a least upper bound u . That is, for all $-x \in -A$, we have $-x \leq u$, i.e., $u \leq x$. Hence, $-u$ is a lower bound for A . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $-x > u - \delta$. That is, $-u + \delta > x$, so $-u$ is the least lower bound of A .

□

(8) (b) Proof. Consider $\{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$. Suppose there is some $l > 0$ such that l is a lower bound, i.e., a lower bound greater than 0. However, by the Archimedean property, we may choose an $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ with $n > \frac{1}{l}$. Then, $l > n^{-1}$. Hence, l is not a lower bound and we find that the infimum is 0. (It is clear that 0 is a lower bound.) \square

(c) By Repetition.

(9) Repetition.

(10) (a) Proof. Let $x > 0$ and $0 < h < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(x+h)^2 &= x^2 + h^2 + 2hx \\ &\geq x^2 + h + 2hx \\ &= x^2 + h(2x+1);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(x-h)^2 &= x^2 + h^2 - 2hx \\ &\geq x^2 - 2hx \\ &= x^2 - h(2x).\end{aligned}$$

\square

(b) Proof. Let $x > 0$, and suppose that $x^2 < a$. Let $0 < b < a^2$ and set $h = \frac{b}{x}/(2x+1)$ with $\tilde{h} =$

(b) Proof. Let $x > 0$. Suppose $x^2 < a$. Choose $\tilde{h} < a - x^2$ and set $h = \tilde{h}/(2x+1)$ such that $\tilde{h} < 1$ and set $h = \tilde{h}/(2x+1)$. Clearly $0 < h < 1$. Thus,

$$(x+h)^2 \leq x^2 + h(2x+1) = x^2 + \tilde{h}^2 < a.$$

Analogous for $(x-h)^2 > a$ if for some $h > 0$ if $x^2 > a$.

\square

(10) (c) Proof. Let $a > 0$. Define

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 < a\}$$

and let $\Omega = \{b \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < b < \min\{\sqrt{a}, a\}\}$. Then $b^2 < b < a$, so $b \notin B$, where b is positive. Suppose that $a^2 > a$. Then $a^2 > a$, but $b = \sup B$. We want to show that $b^2 = a$. $b^2 = a$.

(10) (c) TODO

(d) Proof. Let $b, c > 0$ and suppose that $b^2 > c^2$.

(d) Proof. Let $b, c > 0$. Suppose $b \neq c$ (w.l.o.g., $b < c$) and set $\tau = c - b$. Then $\tau > 0$, $b < c = b + \tau$. Hence,

$$c^2 = (b + \tau)^2 = b^2 + \tau^2 + 2b\tau > b^2,$$

i.e., $b^2 \neq c^2$.

□

(11) Trivial.

5

Cartesian Products

Exercises:

(1) Trivial.

(2) Trivial.

(3) Trivial.

(4) Easy / Repetitive.

(5) (a) $\{x \mid x_i \text{ is an integer for all } i\} = \mathbb{Z}^\omega$

(b) $\{x \mid x_i \geq i \text{ for all } i\} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2} \times \dots$

(c) $\{x \mid x_i \text{ is an integer for all } i \geq 100\} = \mathbb{R}^{99} \times \mathbb{Z}^\omega$

(d) Not expressible as a cartesian product.

6

Finite Sets

Exercises:

- (1) Trivial.
- (2) Contraposition of Corollary 6.6.
- (3) $f : X^\omega \rightarrow X^\omega : (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (1-x_1, 1-x_2, \dots)$; $X = \{0, 1\}$
- (4) Proof. Let $x, \tilde{x} \in X^\omega$ such that $f(x) = f(\tilde{x})$. Then, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $1-x_i = 1-\tilde{x}_i$ and therefore $x_i = \tilde{x}_i$. That is, $x = \tilde{x}$. Hence, f is injective.

Proof. Let $x \in X^\omega$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(f(x))_i = 1-x_i$ and $(f(f(x)))_i = 1-(1-x_i) = x_i$, so $f(f(x)) = x$ and $f = f^{-1}$. It has an inverse, it is bijective. f is its own inverse, it is bijective. \square

- (4) Easy.
- (5) No, consider $A = \mathbb{R}$, $B = \emptyset$, then $A \times B = \emptyset$ is finite.
- (6) Easy.
- (7) Trivial.

7

Countable and Uncountable Sets

Exercises:

- (1) Repetitive.
- (2) Repetitive.
- (3) Repetitive.
- (4) Repetitive.
- (5) (a) Countable, it's just $\{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$.
- (b) Countable, same same argument.
- (c) Countable, union over countably many countables.
- (d) Countable; "glorified" \mathbb{Z}^+ .
- (e) (d) Uncountable; there are all sequences.
- (e) Uncountable; all sequences of 0's and 1's.

- (5) (f) Countable.
 (g) Countable.
 (h) Countable.
 (i) Countable.
 (j) Uncountable.

(6) TODO

(7) Repetitive.

(8) TODO

(9) Unrelated?

8 The Principles of Recursive Definition

Skipped.

9 Infinite Sets and the Axiom of Choice

Axiom of Choice: Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of disjoint non-empty sets. Then there is a set C such that C contains exactly one element of each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. That is,

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \quad \text{and} \quad C \cap A = \{c\}$$

for some $c \in A$ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We can say that the set C chooses one element of each set in \mathcal{A} .

Exercise:

- (1) Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and consider its binary expansion $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k \in \{0, 1\}$ with n_0 being the least significant bit. That is,

$$n = n_0 2^0 + n_1 2^1 + \dots + n_k 2^k.$$

Set $x = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k, 0, 0, \dots)$. Clearly $x \in X^\omega$ where $X = \{0, 1\}$. We use this procedure to define a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X^\omega$ or $f: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow X^\omega$. As for each all $n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ their binary decomposition is unique, f is injective. □

- (2) (a) As each (nonempty) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_+$ has a well-defined minimum, we can define a choice function as

$$c : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+ : A \mapsto \min A.$$

- (b) For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we can choose the nED such that $|n| \leq |m|$ for all $m \in B$, breaking ties by choosing the positive. That is, we choose the element closest to zero by rule checking whether $0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \dots$ are in B .

- (c) Not possible without the axiom of choice as \mathcal{B} fails the continuum hypothesis.

- (d) Also not possible without the axiom of choice.

- (3) Proof. Let $c : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow A$ be a choice function. We want to define an injection $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow A$. We define f as:

$$f(i) = f_i(1)$$

$$f(i) = c(f_i(\{1, \dots, i\}) \cup f(\{1, \dots, i-1\}))$$

As $|f_i(\{1, \dots, i\})| = i$ and $|f(\{1, \dots, i-1\})| = i-1$, the set is nonempty so a choice can be made. Clearly f is injective, as A is infinite. \square

We cannot define f without the axiom of choice.

- (4) Proof of Theorem 7.5.

- (a) Proof. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be surjective. That is, for all $b \in B$ there is some nonempty set $A_0 \subseteq A$ such that $f(a) = b$ for all $a \in A_0$. Let $c : 2^{A_0} \rightarrow A$ be a choice function. Let $c : 2^A \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow A$ be a choice function and define $h : B \rightarrow A$ by $h(b) = c(f^{-1}(\{b\}))$. As the preimage is nonempty, h is well-defined. Let $b \in B$, then

$$(f \circ h)(b) = f(h(b)) = f(c(f^{-1}(\{b\}))) = b,$$

so h is f 's right inverse. \square

- (b) Proof. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be injective, let \mathcal{B} be the collection

(5) (b) Proof. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be injective with $A \neq \emptyset$. Let $b \in \text{Im } f$, then there is exactly one $a \in A$ with $f(a) = b$. Denote this element by $f^{-1}(b)$. Then we can regard f^{-1} as a function $f^{-1}: \text{Im } f \rightarrow A$ such that $(f^{-1} \circ f)(a) = f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$ for all $a \in A$, so f^{-1} is a left inverse. The axiom of choice is not needed as $f^{-1}(\{b\})$ contains at most one element so no arbitrary choice has to be made. \square

(6) (a) Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all sets. Clearly $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is a set, so $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$

(6) (a) Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all sets. As $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is a set of sets, clearly $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. However, $f: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $f(A) = A$ is an injection which contradicts Theorem 7.8 as $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is uncountable. $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$

(b) If $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $B \in \mathcal{B}$; but if $B \notin \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}$.

(7) (a) Proof. Let A be uncountable. By Theorem 7.8 as A is infinite, there is an injection $f: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A$. However, there cannot be an surjective injection $g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ as A is uncountable. Thus, A has a greater cardinality than \mathbb{N}_+ . \square

(b) Proof. Let A, B and C be sets such that A has greater cardinality than B and B has greater cardinality than C . Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be injections. Let $a \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$ such that $f(b) = f(b') = a$, then $b = b'$. Let $c, c' \in C$ such that $g(c) = g(c') = b$, then $c = c'$. Thus, go $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$ is an injection. Now suppose there were an injection $h: A \rightarrow C$, then $g \circ h: A \rightarrow C$ and $h \circ f: A \rightarrow C$ would be injections. Hence, there is no injection from A to C and thus A has greater cardinality than C . \square

(c) Set $A_1 = \mathbb{N}_+$ and $A_{n+1} = \mathcal{P}(A_n)$. It follows from Theorem 7.8 that this sequence is strictly increasing in cardinality. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}_+)$

(d) The set \mathbb{N}_+ has greater cardinality as every A_n as all A_n 's are finite.

(8) TODO

Well-Ordered Sets

Definition (Well-Ordered Set): A set A with order relation \in is well-ordered if every nonempty subset of A has a smallest element.

Theorem (Well-Ordering): Let A be a set. Then there exists an order relation on A that is a well-ordering.

Corollary: There exists an uncountable well-ordered set.

Definition (Section): Let X be a well-ordered set. Let $\alpha \in X$, then the set

$$S_\alpha := \{x \in X \mid x < \alpha\}$$

is called the section of X by α .

Lemma (Minimal Uncountable Well-Ordered Set): There exists a well-ordered set A having a largest element ω such that the section S_ω of A by ω is uncountable but every other section of A is countable.

Theorem: If $A \subseteq S_\omega$ is countable, then A has an upper bound.

Exercises:

- (1) **Proof.** Let X be well-ordered and let $A \subseteq X$ be a non-empty subset bounded from above. That is, the set

$$\{x \in X \mid a \leq x \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

is nonempty. As X is well-ordered, this set has a minimal element a which is the least upper bound of A in X . \square

- (2) (a) **Proof.** Let X be well-ordered and let $\alpha \in X$ not be the largest element. Set $A = \{x \in X \mid \alpha < x\}$, then $A \neq \emptyset$ as α is not the largest element and A has a maximal minimum $b \in A$ such that $(a, b) = \emptyset$ and b is \in α 's immediate successor. \square

- (b) The integers \mathbb{Z} .

(3) No, $\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}_+ \times \{1, 2\}$ do not have the same order type.

Proof. Suppose there is a bijection $f: \{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}_+$

$$f: \{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+ \times \{1, 2\}$$

such that for all $a, b \in \{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}_+$ with $a < b$ we have $f(a) < f(b)$.

TODO

(4) (a) Proof. Let A be an ordered set. "If:" Suppose there is some $A_0 \subseteq A$ such that there is a bijection $f: A_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ with f is order-preserving. Then there is an injection $g: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A_0$ that is order-preserving. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}_+$ be a subset with no minimum. That is, for all $x \in C$ there is a $x_0 \in C$ not with $x_0 < x$. But then also $f(x_0) < f(x)$, so $f \circ g: C \rightarrow A_0$ also has no minimum and thus A_0 is not well-ordered. Thus, A is also not well-ordered. "Only if:" Suppose A is not well-ordered. Clearly, A has to be infinite. and there is an injection $f: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A$. Let $c: A \rightarrow A$ be a choice function where C is the set of all nonempty subsets of A . We define $g: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A$ as follows:

$$g(-1) = c(A)$$

$$g(-n) = c$$

$g: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A$ as follows:

$$g(1) = c(A)$$

$$g(n) = c(\{a \in A \mid a < b \text{ for all } b \in g(\{1, \dots, n-1\})\})$$

And set $g: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A: -n \mapsto g(n)$. Clearly g is injective and order-preserving.

□

(b) Proof. Let A be well-order ordered. Suppose A is not well-ordered. Then there is a bijection $f: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow A$. Then $A_0 \subseteq A$ and \mathbb{N}_+ have the same order type and thus A_0 is countable and not well-ordered. By contraposition, the statement follows.

□

(5) Proof. Suppose that the well-ordering theorem holds. To show the axiom of choice, let \mathcal{A} be a collection of disjoint nonempty sets and set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A.$$

By the well-ordering theorem, there is an order \prec over $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is ~~order~~ well-ordered. We can now set

~~$$C = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \{\min A\}.$$~~

Clearly, $|C \cap A| = 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, so the axiom of choice holds. \square

(6) (a) Proof. Suppose S_α has a largest element, say u . Then $x \leq u$ for all $x \in S_\alpha$ and

$$S_\alpha = S_\alpha \cup \{u\}.$$

However, S_α is uncountable and $S_\alpha \cup \{u\}$ is countable. This contradicts that S_α is uncountable. \square

(b) Proof. Let $\alpha \in S_\alpha$. Then

$$S_\alpha = S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} \cup \{x \in S_\alpha \mid \alpha < x\}.$$

As both S_α and $\{\alpha\}$ are countable and S_α is uncountable, the α -rightmost set must be uncountable. \square

(c) Proof. We want to show that

$$X_0 = \{x \in S_\alpha \mid (x, y) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } y \in S_\alpha\}$$

is uncountable. We can also show that

$$X_0^c = \{x \in S_\alpha \mid (x, y) = \emptyset \text{ for some } y \in S_\alpha\}$$

is uncountable.

(6) (c) Proof. We want to show that

$$X_0 = \{ b \in S_\Omega \mid (a, b) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } a \in S_\Omega \}$$

is uncountable. We show that X_0 has no upper bound in S_Ω . Suppose $u \in S_\Omega$ is an upper bound of X_0 , i.e., $b \leq u$ for all $b \in X_0$.

TODO

(7) Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be well-ordered and let $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be its dual. We want to show that $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$.

(7) TODO ???

(8) (a) Proof. Let A_1, A_2 be well-ordered and define $<$ over $A_1 \cup A_2$ as $a < b$ if $a, b \in A_1$ and $a <_1 b$ or $a, b \in A_2$ and $a <_2 b$ or $a \in A_1$ and $a \in A_2$. Let $A \subseteq A_1 \cup A_2$ be an arbitrary subset. Set $l = \min A \cap A_2$ (this is well-defined as $A \cap A_2$ is well-ordered). Let $b \in A$. If $b \in A_1$, then $l < b$ by construction. If $b \in A_2$, then $l < b$, too. (If $b \in A_1$, by construction.) Thus, A is well-ordered under $<$. □

(b) Let \mathcal{J} be a well-ordered index set and let $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of disjoint well-ordered sets. We define $<$ over $A = \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}} A_j$ as follows:

$a < b$ if $a, b \in A_j$ for some $j \in \mathcal{J}$;

or $a < b$ if $a \in A_j, b \in A_{j'}$ for $j, j' \in \mathcal{J}, j < j'$

Then A is well-ordered under $<$.

(9) (a) Proof. Consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider

$$x = (\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_{n+1 \text{ times}}, 1, 1, \dots)$$

Then all elements of $A_x^{A_x}$ have the form

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 1, 1, \dots)$$

as $y_i = 1 = x_i$ for $i > n+1$ and $y_i = 2$ for $i \leq n+1$. We can now define a bijection $f: (A_x)^n \rightarrow A_x$ as follows:

$$f(y) = (y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_1)$$

Clearly f is order-preserving. □

(9) (b) Proof. Let $A_0 \subseteq A$ and pick some $a_0 \in A_0$. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a_0)_i = 1$ for all $i > n$. From (a), there is now some section of A , say \tilde{A} , that has the same order type as \mathbb{N}^+ . We have $a_0 \in \tilde{A}$ and as \tilde{A} is well-ordered, $\tilde{A} \cap A_0$ has a minimum, say l . Clearly, l is at also a minimum of A_0 and thus A is well-ordered. \square

(10) Theorem: Let \mathcal{D} and C be well-ordered such that there is no surjection from a section of \mathcal{D} onto C . Then there is a unique $h: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C$ such that

$$h(x) = \min(C \setminus h(S_x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (*)$$

where S_x is the section of \mathcal{D} by x .

Proof.

(a) Let $h, k: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C$ be mappings with $\mathcal{D} = S_x$ or $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$ for some fixed $x \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying (*). Let $x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$h(x) = \min(C \setminus h(S_x)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$k(\tilde{x}) = \min(C \setminus k(S_{\tilde{x}})).$$

Suppose $h(x) \neq k(\tilde{x})$ and w.l.o.g. $h(x) < k(\tilde{x})$

(*) Let $h, k: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C$ be functions satisfying (*) where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$ or $\mathcal{D} = S_y$ for some $y \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and suppose, for contradiction, $h(x) \neq k(x)$. W.l.o.g., let $h(x) < k(x)$. Then $h(x) \notin C \setminus k(S_x)$, so $h(x) \in k(S_x)$ as $h(x) \in C$. Similarly, $k(x) \in h(S_x)$.

TODO

(11) TODO

The Maximum Principle

Theorem (Maximum Principle): Let A be a set with a strict partial order \prec . Then there exists a maximal simply ordered subset $B \subseteq A$. That is, if $C \subseteq A$ is simply ordered, $B \subseteq C$.

Zorn's Lemma: Let A be a strictly partially ordered set. If every simply ordered $B \subseteq A$ has an upper bound in A , then A has a maximal element.

Exercises:

(1) Proof.

(i) Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $a-a=0$ which is not positive so $a \neq a$.

(ii) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ and $b < c$. That is, $b-a \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $c-b \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. We thus have

$$c-a = \underbrace{c-b}_{\in \mathbb{Q}_+} + \underbrace{b-a}_{\in \mathbb{Q}_+} \in \mathbb{Q}_+,$$

so $a < b$.

□

The maximal simply ordered sets are

$$\{a+x \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}_+\} = A_a, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}.$$

(2) (a) Proof.

(i) Let $a \in A$. Then $a=a$, so $a \leq a$.

(ii) Let $a, b \in A$ such that $b \leq a$. If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$. Clearly neither $a < b$ nor $b < a$, so $a=b$.

(iii) Let $a, b, c \in A$ with $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$. If $a=b$ or $b=c$, $a \leq c$ is clear. Otherwise, $a < c$ follows from \leq transitivity of \leq , so $a \leq c$.

□

(b) Proof.

(i) Trivial.

(ii) Let $a, b, c \in A$ with $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$. We essentially need to show that $a \leq c$. Suppose $a=c$. Then $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$, so aPb and bPa , so $a=b$. Thus, $a \leq c$ and we have $a \leq c$.

□

(3) It won't work for Example 1: Consider

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\{3, \{0\}, \{7\}, \{0, 7\}\}$$

and pick $x = \{0, 7\}$. Then $B = \mathcal{A}$, but $\{0\}$ and $\{7\}$ are not comparable.

It will now work for Example 2: Pick some point $(x_0, (y_0, y)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then for two $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ both comparable to (x_0, y_0) , i.e., $x_0 \leq x_1$ and $x_0 \leq x_2$, are also comparable as $x_1 = x_2$.

(4) Let, for all $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, \prec be defined as

$$(x_0, y_0) \prec (x_1, y_1) \text{ iff } x_0 \prec x_1 \text{ and } y_0 \leq y_1.$$

The maximal non simply ordered subsets are given by the sets

$$\{(x, y) \mid y = f(x)\}$$

where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is any monotonically increasing function.

(5) Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of sets and suppose that for strictly partially ordered by proper inclusion C , let suppose that for every simply ordered $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\bigcup_{B \subseteq \mathcal{A}} B \in \mathcal{A}$. That is, the set B is upper-bounded by an element in \mathcal{A} . Due to Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal element $A \in \mathcal{A}$. In terms of C , there is no $B \in C$ such that $A \subset B$. □

(6) Proof. let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of X that is of finite type. let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be properly simply ordered (by proper inclusion). Let

$$C = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B.$$

As \mathcal{A} is of finite type, we have have (for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$) that every finite $B_0 \subseteq B$ is in \mathcal{A} , i.e. $B_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. We therefore have

$$C = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \bigcup_{B_0 \subseteq B \text{ finite}} B_0$$

and thus $C \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Hence, by Kuratowski's lemma, it has an element not properly contained in any other element of \mathcal{A} . □

(7) Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a set with partial strict ordering, \prec . Let \mathcal{U} be the collection of subsets of \mathcal{A} that are simply ordered. That is, for all $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ we have either $a \prec b$ or $b \prec a$ for all $a, b \in B$. ~~We want~~
We claim that \mathcal{U} is of finite type. Then there is some $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that CCB for no $C \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, B is a maximal simply ordered subset of \mathcal{A} .

We need to show that \mathcal{U} is of finite type. Let $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be in \mathcal{U} , i.e., B is simply ordered. Clearly every (finite) subset $B_0 \subseteq B$ is also in \mathcal{U} simply ordered, no $B_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. Conversely, let $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that for all but finite $B_0 \subseteq B$, $B_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. Now let $a, b \in B$. Then $\{a, b\} \not\in \mathcal{U}$, so a and b are comparable. Thus, $B \in \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{U} is of finite type. \square

(8) Note that we have

Maximum Principle



\Rightarrow
Zorn's Lemma



Tukey's Lemma \Leftarrow Kuratowski's Lemma



So all the statements are equivalent!

Lemma (Kuratowski's): Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of sets and suppose that for ~~all~~ every $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ that is simply ordered by proper inclusion, $\bigcup_{B \subseteq B} B \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there is some $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that for no $C \subseteq B$, CCB.

Lemma (Tukey's): Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of finite type, then there is some $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that CCB holds for no $C \subseteq B$.

Definition (Finite Type): A collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is of finite type if: a subset $B \subseteq X$ is in \mathcal{U} if and only if every finite subset $B_0 \subseteq B$ is in \mathcal{U} .

- (8) (a) Proof. Let V be a vector space and let $A \subseteq V$ be linearly independent and let $v \in V$ such that $v \notin \text{span } A$. Suppose $A \cup \{v\}$ is linearly dependent. Then there are coefficients a_1, \dots, a_k, a_v such that

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k + a_v v = 0$$

for suitable $v_1, \dots, v_k \in A$. Note that $a_v \neq 0$ as otherwise A were A is linearly independent. But then

$$v = -\frac{1}{a_v} (a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k),$$

so $v \in \text{span } A$. \square

- (b) Proof. Let V be a vector space and let \mathcal{A} be the collection of all independent subsets of V . We show that \mathcal{A} is of finite type. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}$. That is, B is linearly independent means let $B \subseteq V$. Suppose that $B \not\in \mathcal{A}$. Then B is linearly independent, i.e., every finite subset $B_0 \subseteq B$ is linearly independent. Hence, $B_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. Conversely, suppose that $B_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ for any finite $B_0 \subseteq B$. But then, by definition, B is linearly independent, i.e., $B \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, \mathcal{A} is of finite type and there is a $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that it is not properly contained by any other element of \mathcal{A} . That is, it is a maximal element of \mathcal{A} .

- (c) Proof. Let V be a vector space and let \mathcal{A} be the collection of linearly independent subsets of V . Let B be its maximal element. Let $v \in V$ be arbitrary and suppose that $v \notin \text{span } B$. But then $B \cup \{v\}$ would be linearly independent while properly including B . Thus, $v \in \text{span } B$ and $\text{span } B = V$, i.e., B is a basis.

Supplementary Exercises: SKIPPED